

A WEEKLY COMMENTARY



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 61 No. 13

4th April 2025

IN THIS ISSUE

A Few Home Truths By Neville Archibald	01
Carving up the Public Service By William Waite	04
Thing One and Thing Two By Neville Archibald	08
Town Crier (Cryer) By Arnis Luks	10

A Few Home Truths By Neville Archibald

When someone is sick or unwell, we look at the symptoms and try to decide what the problem is, the core disease and treat it. The body suffers from these symptoms and they indicate a deeper problem, treat this and the symptoms themselves usually clear up without help. You can of course put a salve on the rash or take a pill for the headache, but if the imbalance persists they will keep coming back.

Society is no different. It is a body of people with many parts, many areas where symptoms of a greater sickness will arise. We use words like ‘a rash of burglaries’ or we say something is a scar or spreading like a cancer on society. Like a physician who treats only symptoms and hopes the body will heal itself, if the core problem cannot be overcome by the body without intervention or the problem is a cancerous growth that must be removed, then treating the symptoms only, will only prolong life, prolong the downfall.

Many of the problems we face are just symptoms – all the solutions proposed, argued about, and then put to us to take sides on, relies on us not searching out the actual reason for the illness in the first instance.

The concept of housing, is one such problem. One side wants public housing increased, another wants more investors to be landlords, or even to punish those who have empty houses, like holiday homes, to make them available to rent. The argument comes at the problem from many directions, but someone should build more.

The problem facing us is twofold, but still the same: affordability!

We are bringing in, via immigration, massive numbers of people who cannot afford housing but still need it, and while it stimulates our economy to bring them in, increasing the spend on social security is still a debt to be repaid (for the

government must pick up the bill for all they need initially – that means us). At the same time as we are doing this, we are denying the problem that our own people can no longer afford to build either.

In both cases the problem is money. Brick factories could produce more, timber companies could grow more trees, the steel industry could up its output; all to provide for increased building. Many of these associated building industries are suffering from a lack of orders. Why?

We also have increasing unemployment, potential labour to actually build. The means the ability to provide a home for those who need one exists, as does the desire. The money to allow us to ‘consume’, to swap among ourselves these excesses we have, is not made available. Even unemployment can be considered an excess, for that is exactly what it is. We have the means, not the money. The money system is to blame!

The concept of unemployment, is simply one of people who are not needed to produce all we need to function as a community. As mechanisation does more and more of the work, our basic needs are being met with less labour input. That can only mean someone misses out partaking in the production process, and as a consequence of our money system, also misses out on an income.

As a person who has their own jobs to do, whether around the house or at work, if you organise yourself such that your jobs require less of your time, but still get done, what do you do with that saved time? If it is mowing the lawn and you go from a push mower to a zero turn ride-on, cutting the time in half, do you begrudge the freed up time you have – that too is now unemployment in the same sense of the word we attribute to those who need the dole. You, luckily don’t have to justify this time saved to anyone but yourself (except perhaps your wife, when she catches you sitting in the shed, drinking beer an hour earlier than usual).

This time saved phenomena is a good thing, we call it unemployment, but in reality it is leisure and all should share in it equally. Not only that, but no one should be punished by it; forced out of the system by lack of ability to join in; becoming dependent on hand-outs as if they are somehow faulty. We have created, in this wake of excess leisure, an underclass of people considered ‘no-hopers’ or ‘neèr-do-wells’. As more things are done by machine, and increasingly now by A.I., we will see more and more unable to provide a living wage for themselves. How we address this MUST be dealt with! It is coming! If you want your Government dealing with it, and pushing us further into debt and the poverty that debt causes, then take no notice and let them screw you as they now do. If you want something better, it is you who must demand it. The money system under Keynesian economics only knows how to issue new money as debt, which does not reflect, nor has it ever reflected, the reality of this world. It alone is the reason for the world’s un-payable debt and the conflict it brings with it.

The money system is to blame!

The concept of violence in the community, also a symptom of our decline, has many reasons for its increase. The economic pressure to bring in more people, an easy way to lend out more money and stimulate the economy, is so great that we overlook their compatibility, their ability to fit in under our laws.

Many, coming from war torn countries with violent backgrounds to recover from, are suffering PTSD (post traumatic stress disorders) and this is then compounded by the refugee camps. Little to no effort is made to ensure they fit in without causing problems. We rehabilitate our own soldiers and others who have often faced a lot less, yet the expectation is that these asylum seekers will just merge. It is one that our society must deal with, often with no real oversight from authorities or those who should be concerned at what they are forcing on our communities.

It is not just immigration, along with this, is our own violence, stemming from many woes, most of which can be boiled down to lack of money. Many things are being used to cloud our view of the real cause. Placing an emphasis on dividing us into warring groups, or holding others to blame for some convenient social problem, puts us at each others throats simply to deflect us from the true cause. Once again the symptoms are held up as the cause. Stress for lack of money. Pressure of the modern world where once again, lack of financial stability sees people worrying about their future. Unemployment, or rather under-employment means the only way to get money to do more than just exist, is to find work. In many cases that work is not to be found. When you are on edge about your future, your next meal, your children's future, the stress of simply living like that adds to frustration, and its outlet is often violence.

With nothing considered meaningful to do, frustration finds an outlet somehow. Despair, coupled with this idleness, and a large part of the community deriding them, it is no wonder we are creating this problem. I agree that violence is present for many reasons and perhaps mine is a simplistic view at first glance, but while it will always be a part of mankind, the extent of its reach can be lessened. Abused people would be more likely to leave if money was not a problem. Stealing for financial gain would decrease if a living wage independent of hours worked, was an option.

Outreach workers who provide something meaningful to do and engage these people in positive ways can make a difference; but, it is society itself that must engage in a re-evaluation of what work and leisure and money really mean. How they must interact for the betterment of all, not just those who control the issuing of money. You have probably noticed that in economic downturns, the banks and certain big business concerns still make record profits, or gobble up others at fire sale prices. Is our means of exchanging our excesses, our money, actually ours? No! The money system is to blame!

The Farmer who has to plough in his crop, for lack of a buyer. He has created wealth that must now be wasted. There are people to eat his produce and would gladly welcome it, but the system is faulty! I contend it is a purposeful fault.

The Engineering firm that has made excess kit-sheds, in a down turn economy, they go broke or sell at below cost if they can. Why? The real wealth has been created, the need is still there, yet the system won't allow for it!

We see many forms of what I call economic sabotage, where useful and desired production is will-fully destroyed, or bought out for far less than it is worth, the creator being the one that misses out. This is economic vandalism or a corrupt gain for the manipulators of the money system we work under. Fair reward for fair effort is a fundamental expectation, yet when it comes to the money system, we shrug our shoulders and try to find words out of the financial lexicon that swirls around us to explain this theft, for that is what it is.

The money system is broken, this is the root cause of all the symptoms of our illness as a society (nay the world), we must find an alternative and it must fit reality!

C.H.Douglas, Social Credit theory is the only one I have found that addresses all these concerns in a rational manner. Start with a short address given in, I believe, the late 30s. This 12 page document is only one of many books and lectures by Douglas.

<https://alor.org/Storage/Library/Douglas%20CH%20-%20The%20Use%20of%20Money.htm>

This quote by him should be enough to get you thinking about why this is so:

'It is an extraordinary thing that it is possible to have poverty as the result of surplus productive capacity; but that is exactly what we have got.'

Further reading and study is required if we are to understand the nature of the economic cancer that is killing our world.

Go to <https://alor.org> and the Social Credit Library. ***

Carving up the Public Service By William Waite

No one likes waste, fraud and abuse but what's really behind bloated bureaucracies?

If the Coalition wins the next election it appears they will ride the wave created by Elon Musk's Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE). DOGE's ambition is to save the American taxpayer a trillion dollars this financial year. The Dutton government's goals are more modest. It vows to save \$6bn by cuts to Albanese's 36,000 public service hires. Though the money "saved" won't go back to you. Rather it will be diverted into an expansion of Medicare's bulk-billing service. Therapeutic state for the win.

But what are we really looking at with bureaucratic bloat? One of the fundamental causes of the growth of bureaucracy is the constant pressure in the system to push debt money into the economy. The deficiency of purchasing power for consumption means there exists an incentive for governments to sponsor projects that are useless or worse simply to distribute incomes and keep national economies staggering along.

The government's power to tax means it has a sure line of credit, and therefore the opportunity for creating employment and distributing incomes is always at hand. It's one of the few things governments are up front about. They're everywhere and always about jobs, jobs, jobs.

An overgrown public service works similarly to other palliatives which exist to manage a chronic deficiency of consumer purchasing power. The most useful projects for this purpose are those that produce nothing which will add to the stock of already unsellable goods. In this category is government sponsored infrastructure and expansion of services like the NDIS, the manufacture of military hardware, inflation of prices on existing assets like housing and production for export. I would also include the revamping of energy infrastructure like scaled renewables and nuclear. Just as public service growth increases onerous regulation and annoying public servants, so these others have negative second and third order consequences; avoidable if we would only address the money problem directly.

Douglas once said "I do not regard it as being a sane system that before you can buy a cabbage it is absolutely necessary to build a machine-gun..."¹ This cuts very close to the core of the issue. The core of the issue is that in order to get what we need and want we have to do a whole lot of other things that have *nothing* to do with the production and distribution of what we need and want. At the end of the day a great deal of waste, fraud and abuse is required to make the money system work. We will have bloated bureaucracies, among other examples of wasted human effort, so long as the government's roll remains dispensing tax and debt to provide incomes for consumption.

A big part of the stated rationale for these cuts is ballooning debt. Even if Musk gets his trillion dollars of federal government savings the US will still be >\$36tn in the hole. Actually, it will only just cover the interest bill for the same year. The drop in the bucket evaporates before it can fall.

This is why I suspect the whole DOGE spectacle of firings and contract cancellations to be a big head-fake to sneak through an expansion of the digital administrative state, sometimes referred to as The Control Grid. The executive order that signed DOGE into existence is more about technology upgrades than the mainstream reporting indicates. Improving government efficiency by firings etc. is not mentioned. It reads:

Section 1. Purpose. This Executive Order establishes the Department of Government Efficiency to implement the President's DOGE Agenda, by modernizing Federal technology and software to maximize governmental efficiency and productivity.

DOGE is actually the renamed and restructured United States Department of Digital Services (USDS). From the same source:

Sec. 3. DOGE Structure. (a) Reorganization and Renaming of the United States Digital Service. The United States Digital Service is hereby publicly renamed as the United States DOGE Service (USDS) and shall be established in the Executive Office of the President.

With respect to Modernizing for maximizing governmental efficiency and productivity:

Sec. 4. Modernizing Federal Technology and Software to Maximize Efficiency and Productivity. (a) The USDS Administrator shall commence a Software Modernization Initiative to improve the quality and efficiency of government-wide software, network infrastructure, and information technology (IT) systems. Among other things, the USDS Administrator shall work with Agency Heads to promote inter-operability between agency networks and systems, ensure data integrity, and facilitate responsible data collection and synchronization.

(b) Agency Heads shall take all necessary steps, in coordination with the USDS Administrator and to the maximum extent consistent with law, to ensure USDS has full and prompt access to all unclassified agency records, software systems, and IT systems. USDS shall adhere to rigorous data protection standards. ²

This explains Musk's interest. It might be that DOGE is a sort of pilot project for the labour disruption promised by AI.

Whatever is going on we should judge these developments on whether they expand or constrain individual initiative. Large-scale government sackings will have no effect on the mechanisms, taxation and debt financing, which constrain individual initiative. Furthermore, the centralisation of power over the collection, storage and processing of our personal information provides irresistible opportunity for development of the global security state.

Outside (Douglas-ed) Social Credit circles I don't see much useful thinking. You're not going to solve the problem of consumption by throwing people out of work. As long as we, under the heel of big finance, remain the unthinking captives of ritualistic financial dogma there is no solution. Nor will the cause of freedom be served by empowering a voyeuristic elite to enact their transhumanist, totalitarian fantasies.

At the heart of this issue is the corruption when power concentrates. Monahan in his *An Introduction to Social Credit* breaks it down to bedrock:

Governments today are almost infinitely evil; at all events, they contact infinite evil; they are robbers, liars and hypocrites. They are corrupted by power; and the solution is, to withdraw that power back to the individual, to de-concentrate it. The only safe exercise of power is by the individual over himself, not over others. ³

This de-concentration of power involves the de-concentration of credit-power. Whatever happens the payments will be made, the system depends on it. The question is who gets it and on what terms. If you roll back the public service it'll be made up by increased mortgage debt, a big new energy boondoggle, Musk's Mars pipe dream or some other innovation that assures control of credit-power remains with the dominator class. Whatever happens they must not solve the consumption problem. Orwell understood it.

For if leisure and security were enjoyed by all alike, the great mass of human beings who are normally stupefied by poverty would become literate and would learn to think for themselves; and when once they had done this, they would sooner or later realize that the privileged minority had no function, and they would sweep it away. In the long run, a heierarchical society was only possible on a basis of poverty and ignorance. ⁴

I would just add that poverty is not the only stupefying force. We could finally understand our predicament and empower individual people by putting them on a secure material basis de-linked from the necessity to sell something.

While people rely on weekly and fortnightly payments for their food and accomodation they will do what the boss says. The answer to waste, fraud and abuse is not a top down, techno-fascist sacking spree. What is needed is more like a universal whistleblower protection. A dividend which insulates ordinary people from poverty for exercising their common sense and decency at work. We need a dividend which provides people with the freedom to simply go home. A dividend based on our social credit. The alternative exists. ***

Footnotes:

[1]

Douglas in Heydorn, O. 2014. Social Credit Economics. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform, Canada.

[2]

United States Government. Available from

[3]

Monahan, B. 1947. An Introduction to Social Credit. Tidal Publications, Sydney.

[4]

Orwell, G. 1954. Nineteen Eighty-four. Penguin Books, Australia.

Thing One and Thing Two By Neville Archibald

The Cat in the Hat is a classic tale for children, created by Dr Seuss. (American author, Theodor Geisel) It's rhyme and rhythm posing not quite tongue twisters but a fun method of waking children to the use of words and sounds, all the while, telling a story.

Our Thing One and Thing Two are also like storybook characters, sadly like their Dr Seuss counterparts, I do not think they will clean up their mess, or that created by their owner, (the cat in the hat and his ring around the bath tub). see 'The cat in the Hat Comes Back.' In this parable both books are mentioned

In a parable (and I do love parables) the actions and pictures drawn give voice to a fundamental similarity between the real and the imagined. Otherwise what good would it be. While I can be fairly certain the original author would not have had this in mind, my childish notions sometimes tickle my fancy. Especially when the circus is in town!

We have Thing One, whose energy policy seems to be a fairy tale, where promises of fairy dust sprinkles, to lighten the weight of our bills seem to be a repeat of a previous promise, that saw the dust turn to lead as though some alchemist failed in his job.

Thing Two has a policy which also seems to be rather fraught with concern for us, but whose outcomes appear to be more money for corporate providers than we the people. 'Watch this hand' he is saying, a flourish of nuclear smoke and the final sale of all power production will be offshore in a trice, for who among us poor munchkins in Oz can afford this technology.

Meanwhile, at the back of the tent, the gypsies of energy are stealing gas without paying for it. Using it to inflate their profit shaped balloon animals. *(56% of gas exported from Australia attracts zero royalty payments, effectively giving a public resource to multinational gas corporations for free.)*¹

Where do Thing One and Thing Two stand on this question?

Now the crickets are performing their act, wait 'til they are done, quiet please!

Then the Circus leader brings in the performing horses, to circle the arena endlessly while tricks are played on their backs. A troop of performers each, do Thing One and Thing Two have. All primed and primed for the job, out there starring in their own little ways. Repeating the endless circus music, which I for one, are heartily sick of.

Thing One fronts his audience, taking requests and magically making responses. The stooges in the crowd offer prompts for his tales of mystery and imagination. One little boy asks a serious question and horror is seen briefly on Thing One's face, there is no scripted answer, so the poor boy is ignored – seems he did not pay for his ticket, shame on him.

Thing Two meanwhile, in another part of this grand circus, has faded flowers to

produce from his wand. The rabbit in his hat is moth eaten and old, I think it has ear disease! Often caused by bacteria, mites, or other factors, it can lead to symptoms like head tilting, scratching, and lethargy. Does it no longer hear? The cries of the crowd, loud as they are for something different, fall on deaf ears. More of the same is on offer, the tired and worn jokes stand listlessly among the retinue of attempting entertainers.

Wait, is that something new, something fresh being offered by Thing One? A ban on foreign home buying? Yes! At last ... wait ... the moths are at the paperwork for this one already, I could swear it says, '*established homes*' and '*at least two years*'. Like the cheap toys that come with circus, they only last for a brief instant before breaking, then the circus has gone and no warranty is to be had. Reading on I see something else has had a go at it. A trail of what can only be silverfish excrement seems to read, '*limited exceptions*', '*Foreign investors are subject to development conditions*'. '*The Government is focused on making sure these rules are complied with*' and despite this already being a part of their job, they are dressing it up, like the pig in a tutu that runs with the clowns in the arena. Is it a thought out policy? When does it take place? Again from their press release, '*The ATO and Treasury will publish updated policy guidance prior to the commencement of these changes*.' So not yet written or available to peruse, just accept that we will do our best ... and ... all taking place from, and to quote their own press release once again, '*From 1 April 2025*'² such an auspicious day! I will not hold my breath, I think the horses have messed in the arena now and I don't mind the smell, it reminds me of what it is.

Thing Two in the other tent is remarking on Thing One's shabby clothes and worn out horses. The home ownership pantomime is sailing along, it's original crew to be boarded by the pirates of Thing Two. Confiscating the treasure they will need to fix the ship before undertaking their own 'yo ho ho' of sea shanties, while they strain on the ropes of the canvas sails which are obscuring their own ideas. Once again Thing Two's press release for housing reads, '*Implement a two-year ban on foreign investors and temporary residents purchasing existing homes*.' (same as thing one?) and '*Reduce permanent migration – from 185,000 to 140,000 for two years (then 150,000 in year three and 160,000 in year four)*.'³ Something new, yet not very real, a small reduction in Thing One's policy to start, then let it climb back again! How very different are Thing One and Thing Two!

Then there is the outside influence of the international circus entertainers, of whom one, is making sure that the acts in his country at least, are protected by copyright. This sees Thing One and Thing Two scramble to agree to protect their own circus until they see what the other international acts require. Seems to me the international union of circus performers has a fair say in this arena. At least it is another thing for One and Two to agree on.

So in the end Dr Seuss gets his house cleaned before Mum and Dad get home, thanks to someone other than our two performers. All they succeeded to do was

spread the entire mess around the house and even out into the yard. Little Cats A through to Z couldn't fix it, (just how many changes of government do we need?) then finally VOOM an unknown entity under Cat Z's hat provides the magic clean needed.

I wonder where our VOOM is? Is it to be scared of? What sort of Magic clean is it? An "own nothing and be happy" Magic clean?

Maybe we should clean our own backyard and no longer let the Cat and his Things attempt to do it for us. As the fish in the first book said, "No! No! Make that cat go away! Tell that Cat in the Hat You do NOT want to play."

Put real people into politics, not storybook characters! ***

Footnotes:

[1] <https://australiainstitute.org.au/post/gas-exports-56-given-to-corporations-royalty-free/>

[2] <https://ministers.treasury.gov.au/ministers/jim-chalmers-2022/media-releases/albanese-government-clamping-down-foreign-purchase>

[3] <https://www.liberal.org.au/our-plan/affordable-housing>

Town Crier (Cryer) By Arnis Luks

Social discourse can take many shapes and flavours depending on the circles you are privileged to attend. Quite often the general conversation does get around to some political events of the day, but only ever superficially. I don't hold much hope for a lot of these conversations as they offer 'entertainment' more than 'serious discourse in the hope of finding some form of resolution'. I often ask myself 'when will 'they' be ready for a serious conversation?'

My father often made the statement that 'Australians (generally) will never consider political things seriously until there is blood on the streets.' This may be so with our laid-back attitude.

The Australian League of Rights has been preaching a message of warning to the Australian people since the 1930s, and was able to gain significant momentum - peaking during the mid-to-late 1990s. The message has not wavered one iota. However, the level of psychological manipulation: through government, education and media circles; has gained a greater hold on the social consciousness that is becoming increasingly difficult to break their spell. There is no shortage of alternative media, in fact the encroachments of social media has made is now equally as pervasive as the mainstream media, and perhaps even more influential. However, a conversation never-entered-into will only ever fall on deaf ears.

Was the Secret-Vote an Undoing?

Politics and religion are not generally discussed in polite society, or so it is said. But, truth be known, both politics and religion are too important not to be discussed, and openly so. These two subjects affect us deeply in nearly every area of life. We cannot cross the street without having something to say on the matter. 'Beliefs' and the repercussions of the differing 'takes' on the world just to start.

'Isms' dictate that someone else will determine if you 'can' cross the street: 'when' and 'where' and possibly 'how' you can and shall cross the street. USA has stringent 'J' walking laws that determine: you may only cross within those designated areas, and when the lights indicate so, shall you be permitted to cross the street. If the lights fail; you will break the law if you attempt to cross.

Can we take the risk to open up a conversation of this nature in polite society? We have to, because the issues on the table are too important not to.

The current issue of 'who will guide our nation for the next few years as we are possibly heading into a third world war', is of this most profound nature. We must discuss it, especially in the light of the very real possibility that the United Kingdom government is leading the charge-of-Europe (and possibly the entire Commonwealth) against Russia. This is even though the UK and USA played a significant role in the 2014 Ukraine coup, that led to the indiscriminate bombing of the Donbass region, which brought about the 'Special Military Operation' response by Russia. Note that each party involved in the conflict, even as proximal as UK and USA are, places their own particular 'take, or spin' on the campaign.

What will Australia's role be should this limited theatre of war expand, which is very much on the cards? China is menacingly demonstrating its military prowess off our coastline. Has Australia's government and bureaucracy even thought about re-industrialising before beginning to take any steps in this direction of possible conflict? Trump is at least re-industrialising the USA. Tariffs is his ruse to do this. This is not financial chaos at all, but carefully managed tactics leading onto hot-war.

With hypersonic missile technology and the (demonstrably-effective) drone campaign already in the battlefield; what do we have in our own arsenal? Have we prepared for this possibility? Are we re-industrialising for war?

China has industrialised already and is militarily comparable to Europe or America in their arms manufacturing. Russia shares some very sophisticated technology with their ally China for sure; but equally in this mixed-nexus of technology-sharing is the USA and UK, who having already shared their best technology by the joint international space station, small modular nuclear reactors, and hypersonic missile technology. Satellite and launch technology are also in this shared mix. These shared-technology-policies do not occur by chance. They are through our universities.

Being a part of a very well laid plan for world government, or if necessary to bring about the imposition of a third world war, should the remaining partially-free West choose not to accept the imposition of world government is their end position. Are our aspiring leaders capable of navigating this perilous path being set before us? None are even talking about this possibility, yet they all know it is on the horizon.

As policy, this planned event (if necessary) of a 3rd World War has been on the drawing board before the 1980s with the imposition of the North-South Dialogue leading onto the Lima Agreement. There are many other indicators that go back before the previous World Wars, even before the French Revolution 1789-1799.

The end result and real objective of the First World War was to safeguard the

established of revolutionary-communism in Russia. The end result and real objective of the Second World War was the expansion of revolutionary-communism across Eastern Europe. The Korean War was to further expand communism across eastern Asia. Vietnam to expand communism across southern Asia.

The fall of the Berlin wall was simply to expand communism across the free world.

The end objective of Communism is permanent revolution across the entire world under a world-wide Communist Dictatorship. Little surprise that Donald Trump is already suggesting the USA Constitutional 14th Amendment has a workaround that may allow him to continue as president, perhaps indefinitely. Constitutions and Law are simply a minor irritant as previous USA presidents so readily demonstrated.

‘War is a Racket’ by Smedley D Butler, was an apt title given by a former US Marine Corp General who exposed the profiteering and propaganda of the military-industrial complex. He argued that war benefits the few at the expense of the many. It is an opportunity for massive profit; by destroying not only the equipment and supplies used up during the processing of war, but for the victors, (which is always banks and industry), to control the incurred debts by passing those over to the vanquished, and also insist on full control of their rebuild. Donald Trump is openly demonstrating this double-benefit of profit with the Ukraine in front of our eyes.

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Butler_S-War-is-a-Racket.pdf

Would open meetings in the public space give an active-citizenry enough opportunity to resolve these substantial-predicaments - is an interesting thought. Firstly, it may neutralise somewhat the constant assault of propaganda by the mainstream, and now social -media. The open discussion may even examine the root “Causes of War” more deeply; while possibly providing CH Douglas’ resolution as to the irredeemable debt problem. For that is why wars are waged; to clear their own debts. Look at the USA, as the most productive nation to have ever existed, and yet they cannot clear their own debts, and have to egg-on Ukraine into ‘war with Russia’ to transfer their debt onto them, which Ukraine will never clear - not this lifetime. Germany received the same bitter-medicine across the two previous World Wars.

Irredeemable debt is the insurmountable-problem that cannot (supposedly) be resolved. Or so it is taught through our higher learning institutions and media alike.

The existing money system is deeply flawed, and produces this predicament for every nation, with the accompanying political-policy being: the pursuit of power over the whole world as their (the central-bankers) end objective.

Are we meant, do we exist in time and space just to be the plaything of those who hold ultimate power wielding the manipulated financial system?

Or, are we given this life to dis/uncover true-meaning, of the wonder, the beautiful, and the true, of all that is about us - as creation is.

To love, to worship, and to enjoy God forever.

We will keep going around in civilisational-circles until we choose to answer this riddle, that continually repeats itself again and again, from time immemorial. ***